



Daisy Wrigley

Year of call 2019



clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk



+44 (0)113 243 3277

Daisy Wrigley joined New Park Court Chambers as a tenant in September 2024, having completed pupillage under the supervision of Geraldine Kelly and Chloe Fairley. Daisy accepts instructions in all of Chambers' areas of practice, specifically criminal and regulatory work.

Daisy regularly appears in the Crown Court and Magistrates' Courts. She has prosecuted and defended a variety of matters, including assaults, possession of offensive weapons, fraud, production and possession of drugs, voyeurism, sexual communications with a child, indecent images, harassment, driving matters, amongst others.

Daisy is also instructed on civil matters. Prior to pupillage, Daisy worked as a County Court Advocate for one of the largest advocacy providers in the UK. She appeared at courts across the North Eastern Circuit before District Judges at small claims hearings, possession lists, interlocutory applications, and approval hearings, amongst others.

Daisy has undertaken youth training and general advocacy training. She has also taken part in various pro-bono schemes including the Yorkshire Tribunal Advocacy Scheme, Street Law, and Legal Advice Clinics.

Daisy is organised, has attention to detail and is able to work to tight deadlines. She recognises the importance of ensuring that her clients understand how the law applies to their specific circumstances and ensures that each client's needs are met at all times.

Expertise

Crime

Notable Crime cases

Rex v SM (Hull Crown Court, August 2024).

Leeds

16 Park Place
Leeds
LS1 2SJ
Leeds Park Square

T: +44 (0)113 243 3277
E: clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk
DX: 26401

Newcastle

3 Broad Chare
Newcastle Upon Tyne
NE1 3DQ

T: +44 (0)191 232 1980
E: clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk



Represented the Appellant in an appeal against conviction for two charges of failing to provide information as to the identity of a driver. SM was the Director of a Company to which the relevant vehicle was registered. The Crown failed to properly pursue the Company prior to pursuing SM as a Director and there was no basis for her being pursued as an individual. The Crown refused to offer no evidence so an application to dismiss was made. Not guilty verdicts entered on both charges. Defence costs order granted.

Rex v JS (Bradford Magistrates' Court, August 2024).

Prosecuted a trial for driving with a proportion of specified controlled drug above the specified limit. The Defence took issue with continuity of blood samples, procedure, and consent of the Defendant and the Doctor. The trial included multiple legal arguments, including the Defence seeking to exclude key Prosecution evidence and admit expert evidence mid-trial. Following successful legal arguments, a guilty verdict was returned.

Rex v JV (Newton Aycliffe Magistrates' Court, August 2024).

Represented JV who was charged with assault occasioning actual bodily harm, which was captured on CCTV. Despite no independent evidence that the injury could have been caused during a different altercation that evening, the Court was persuaded to return not guilty verdicts. Defence costs order granted.

Rex v E (Leeds Youth Court, July 2024).

Represented a troubled youth charged with a common assault against his grandfather. No evidence offered at trial.

Rex v FM (Leeds Magistrates' Court, June 2024).

Represented FM who had pleaded guilty to two charges of failing to provide a specimen for analysis and criminal damage. An interim disqualification had been imposed at the First Hearing due to the seriousness of the offending. Following successful mitigation, the disqualification was not extended, and FM was sentenced to a fine.

Rex v JMC (Peterlee Magistrates' Court, May 2024).

Represented JMC for an exceptional hardship application. A disqualification from driving would have resulted in JMC losing his employment in turn impacting JMC's employer and employees. Exceptional hardship found and disqualification avoided.

Rex v DF (Peterlee Magistrates' Court, April 2024).

Represented DF for one charge of failing to provide information as to the identity of a driver. The Crown were invited to discontinue the case on the basis that Section 172 of the Road Traffic Act 1988 was not triggered. The Crown refused and the matter proceeded to trial. Following a successful half-time submission, the case was dismissed. Defence costs order granted.

Rex v LA (Sheffield Magistrates' Court, April 2024).

Prosecuted a trial involving of three Complainants, one being the Defendant's ex-partner. The charges related to three common assaults, threats to cause damage to property and criminal damage. Guilty verdicts on all charges.

Rex v MP (Leeds Magistrates' Court, March 2024).

Leeds

16 Park Place
Leeds
LS1 2SJ
Leeds Park Square

T: +44 (0)113 243 3277
E: clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk
DX: 26401

Newcastle

3 Broad Chare
Newcastle Upon Tyne
NE1 3DQ

T: +44 (0)191 232 1980
E: clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk



Represented MP who was charged with criminal damage. Successfully opposed the Crown's application to adjourn on the basis that the Complainant had not attended due to illness. The Crown offered no evidence.

Leeds

16 Park Place
Leeds
LS1 2SJ
Leeds Park Square

T: +44 (0)113 243 3277
E: clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk
DX: 26401

Newcastle

3 Broad Chare
Newcastle Upon Tyne
NE1 3DQ

T: +44 (0)191 232 1980
E: clerks@newparkcourt.co.uk